# **Clamping Force & Concrete Crosstie Bending Behavior Analysis**



#### FRA Tie and Fastener BAA - Industry Partners Meeting Incline Village, NV 7 October 2013

Sihang Wei, Daniel Kuchma



 $\mathbf{R}$ Federal Railroad Administration UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

## Outline

- Project Objectives
- Clamping Force Analysis
  - Introduction
  - UIUC clip instrumentation
  - Clamping force calculation methodology
  - Change of clamping force due to wheel load
  - Clip strain diagram
  - Conclusions
- Concrete Crosstie Bending Behavior Analysis
  - Introduction
  - UIUC concrete crosstie instrumentation
  - · Bending moment at rail seats and center
  - Conclusions



## **Overall Project Deliverables**

#### Mechanistic Design Framework

Literature Review

**Load Path Analysis** 

International Standards Current Industry Practices AREMA Chapter 30

#### I – TRACK

Statistical Analysis from FEM

Free Body Diagram Analysis

Probabilistic Loading

Finite Element Model

Laboratory Experimentation Field Experimentation Parametric Analyses

Slide 3

#### Objectives of Clamping Force Analysis Experimentation

- Define the components of the clamping force vector
- Determine the range that the components of clamping force may vary under the following operating conditions:
  - Clip installation
  - Tangent and curvature
  - Low speed and higher speed
  - Round wheels and wheels with irregularities
- Determine how the change in clamping force effects the load path in the system

#### Slide 5

## Background

- Clamping force as defined by manufacturer is the force applied by the clips vertically relative the rail seat
- Clamping force and clip behavior is examined in detail using finite element analysis
- Laboratory experimentation was used to validate the boundary conditions within the system model
- Clamping force as defined by the manufacturer is calculated via:

 $R=D\times K$ 

where,

- R: Clamping force
- D: Vertical displacement at clip tip
- K: Stiffness of clip toe

For "Amsted RPS UAB2000" R = 2,375 lbs (expected) K = 8,223 lbs/in



Finite-element model

# **Clamping Force Components**

- Clamping force can be broken into two components
  - Normal force (N)
  - Tangential force (T)
- Normal force is
  - The component of the clamping force normal to the clip toe
  - Affected by the rail base rotation and rail pad assembly compression
- Tangential Force is
  - The component of the clamping force tangential to the clip toe
  - Affected by the rail base lateral translation and frictional interface between the clip and insulator



## **UIUC Clip Instrumentation and Force Calculation Methodology**

- Clip strains were measured using strain gauges:
  - Four (4) on each clip
  - One (1) on both flat portions of clip, top and bottom
- Rail base vertical displacement, near the clip toe was measured using a potentiometer
- Change of force for each toe was calculated using the following methodology

$$\Delta N = D_G \cdot (1250 lbs / 0.289 in)$$
$$\Delta T = \left(\frac{-e_t + e_b}{2} - \frac{\Delta N d(t/2) \cos \varphi}{EI}\right) \cdot \frac{EI}{d(t/2) \sin \varphi}$$

- Where:
  - $D_G$  is the gage side rail base vertical displacement
  - $e_t$  is the strain measured at the top of the clip
  - $e_{\rm b}$  is the strain measured at the bottom of the clip
  - *d* is the distance from clip contact to strain gauge





#### Laboratory Experimentation Results: Change in Normal and Tangential Clamping Force Under Load

- An experiment was performed to investigate the change in clamping force components under varying load
- The gage-side normal and tangential clamping force components showed greater changes than the field-side components
- Gage-side clamping force components to be investigated in the field experimentation

 $\Delta NF/G$ : Change of normal force at field/gauge side  $\Delta TF/G$ : Change of tangential force at field/gauge side



## Instrumentation Location (Full Map)



F

Rail Displacement Fixture Rail Longitudinal Displacement/Strains Pad Assembly Longitudinal Displacement Pad Assembly Lateral Displacement Insulator Longitudinal Displacement Insulator Vertical Displacement



Vertical Web Strains

- Vertical and Lateral Circuits
- Shoulder Beam Insert (Lateral Force)
- Embedment Gages, Vertical Circuit, Clip Strains
- Crosstie Surface Strains

```
MBTSS
```

### **Instrumented Clips**

1	2	3	4	Α	В	C		<del>-</del> G	Н	I	5	6	7	8
			$\square$											
AC.A	ALSA	50	576	573	57	173	σπ	173	573	173	53	a the	-	-
							2 							
1 00 - 555 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	+ 20 - 50 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1	5-00- 	5-00	1-00- 		1-00 	1-00	1-00- 	5-00- 			5-40	- 100- - 100- - - 100- - - 100- - - - 100- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	
9	10	11	12	P	Q	R S	Г	/ <mark> </mark> ,	×γ	Z	13	14	15	16













### **Change of Clamping Force Under Dynamic Load**



### **Change of Clamping Force Under Dynamic Load**



### **Change of Clamping Force Under Dynamic Load**



## **Strain Diagram After Installation (Actual)**

- The inner and outer calculated surface strain distributions shown in blue
- The inner and outer calculated surface strain values are listed in black
- The inner and outer recorded surface strain values are listed in red
- Recorded strain is very close to calculated strain
- Resulting clamping force components: N = 2740 lbs, T = -140 lbs
- Comparing with yielding strain of steel:



## **Strain Diagram After Installation**

- Yielding strain:  $e_y = f_y / E = 183 ksi / 23000 ksi = 7957 ms$
- The strain distribution for inner and outer surface are shown below for case N = 2500 lbs,
  - T = 0 lbs (assuming no tangential force)



### **Strain Diagram due to Typical Wheel Load**

- Yielding strain:  $e_v = f_v / E = 183ksi / 23000ksi = 7957ms$
- The strain distribution for inner and outer surface are shown below for case  $N + \Delta N = 2500 \text{ lbs} + 200 \text{ lbs},$  $T + \Delta T = 0 \text{ lbs} + 200 \text{ lbs}$





## **Strain Diagram Due to Impact Load**

- Yielding strain:  $e_y = f_y / E = 183 ksi / 23000 ksi = 7957 ms$
- The strain distribution for inner and outer surface are shown below for case  $N + \Delta N = 2500 \text{ lbs} + 400 \text{ lbs},$  $T + \Delta T = 0 \text{ lbs} + 200 \text{ lbs}$





### **Conclusions from Clamping Force Analysis Experimentation**

- Clamping force can be represented by two orthogonal forces
  - Normal and tangential
- The clamping force of a clip will not vary significantly when it is positioned two ties from the applied load
- The normal and tangential components of the clamping force do not change significantly under typical train operation
- Impact loads can impart significant strain into the clip
- The initial strain during installation may approach yield limit
- A more conservative design could be accomplished by closing up the gap between the two clip toes

#### Objectives of Crosstie Bending Behavior Analysis Experimentation

- Determine support conditions below crossties
- Determine the bending moments at the crosstie rail seats and the crosstie center when subject to:
  - Static and dynamic loads
  - Varying load magnitude (empty 315 kips)

#### **Background: Previous Research on Support Conditions**



Sleeper/ballast contact patterns:

(a) central void, (b) single hanging, (c) double hanging,

(d) triple hanging, and (e) side-central voids

Kaewunruen & Ramennikov, 2007



- Strains measured at the top and bottom of both rail seats and the crosstie center
- Bending moments can then be calculated at both rail seats and crosstie center

#### **Crosstie Bending Moment Calculation Methodology**



Where,

e: strain recorded from concrete surface gauge #1~#6

E: elastic modulus of concrete, 4500 ksi

I: moment of inertia at each location

d: the distance between the upper and lower gauges at each location

## Instrumentation Location (Full Map)



Rail Displacement Fixture Rail Longitudinal Displacement/Strains Pad Assembly Longitudinal Displacement Pad Assembly Lateral Displacement Insulator Longitudinal Displacement Insulator Vertical Displacement



Vertical Web Strains

- Vertical and Lateral Circuits
- Shoulder Beam Insert (Lateral Force)
- Embedment Gages, Vertical Circuit, Clip Strains
- Crosstie Surface Strains

MBTSS



1	2	3	4	Α	В			= <u>G</u>	Н		5	6	7	8
1	1													
		)   were to the   	)	Same rides E			Service in the C	S more units of	) Server in the server of the	Same man (		Survey and a second sec	( <b>1</b> - <b>1</b>	( unit + nov )
	1-00 			+	1-200					+ 00- 	+ 200- - 100- - 1 m - 1		+ = +	
9	10	11	12	P	Q F	R S T			XY	Z	13	14	15	16

### **Concrete Crosstie Design Cracking Moment**



From CXT f'c(28d)=11,730 psi Using f'c=11,000 psi

Positive: top in compression Negative: top in tension

- Mid-point
  - positive: 196.8 k-in
  - negative: -256.8 k-in
- Rail-seat
  - positive: 405.6 k-in
  - negative: -219.6 k-in

#### Bending Moments Under Static Load: Rail Seats E and U and Crosstie Center E-U



- Design rail seat cracking moments
  - positive: 405.6 k-in
  - negative: -219.6 k-in
- Design tie center cracking moment
  - positive: 196.8 k-in
  - negative: -256.8 k-in



#### Bending Moments Under Dynamic Load: Rail Seat C by Car Type



- Design rail seat cracking moments
  - positive: 405.6 k-in
  - negative: -219.6 k-in



#### Bending Moments Under Dynamic Load: Crosstie Center C-S by Car Type





- Design tie center cracking moment
  - positive: 196.8 k-in
  - negative: -256.8 k-in



## **Discussion on Support Length**



"Newly tamped track" in UIC

Reduced support length

As crosstie support length is reduced, the resulting rail seat moment is reduced

### **Conclusions from Bending Behavior Analysis Experimentation**

- Bending moments recorded during dynamic train runs are larger than those recorded during static tests
- In general, the recorded bending moment increased as the nominal car weight increased
- Impact loads can significantly effect the crosstie bending moments
- Bending moments recorded in field do not approach the cracking limit
- Low bending moments at rail seat may be due to a short support length in the field

## **Future Work**

- Clip Performance
  - Effect of cyclic loading on tangential and normal components of clamping force
  - Effect of repeated impact load on tangential and normal component of clamping force
- Crosstie Performance
  - Rail seat vertical load will be analyzed via concrete embedment strain gauges cast below the rail seat
  - Rail seat vertical load and concrete crosstie bending behavior will be compared
  - More detailed analysis of the effect of support conditions on concrete crossties bending



# Acknowledgements

U.S. Department of Transportation

#### Federal Railroad Administration

- Funding for this research has been provided by the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)
- Industry Partnership and support has been provided by
  - Union Pacific Railroad
  - BNSF Railway
  - National Railway Passenger Corporation (Amtrak)
  - Amsted RPS / Amsted Rail, Inc.
  - GIC Ingeniería y Construcción
  - Hanson Professional Services, Inc.
  - CXT Concrete Ties, Inc., LB Foster Company
  - TTX Company

#### FRA Tie and Fastener BAA Industry Partners:













# **Questions?**

Sihang Wei Graduate Research Assistant Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign Email: wei22@illinois.edu