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## Study Purpose

- Worldwide Use of High Speed Rail Technology
- Japan, France, Italy, Germany, Spain, China, UK
- Feasibility of 220 mph Alternative to 110 mph Chicago - St. Louis
- Alternative Alignment to Serve Champaign and Decatur
- Use of Railroad Corridors for HSR Right of Way
- Develop Cost Estimate \& Phasing Plan



## Design Criteria

- Trains Based on UIC Standards (Non-FRA Compliant)
- Requires Separate Dedicated Alignment
- Same Criteria as California and Forida HSR Systems



## Key Findings

- Feasible for HSR to Share Existing 100 ft Railroad Right of Way
- Grade Separated Alignment Can Be Created Providing Benefits to Both Communities and Railroads
- Chicago - St' Louis Travel Time 1h52m for a non-stop train
- Infrastructure Cost \$11.5B



## Background

CHICAGO - ST LOUIS AND INTERMEDIATE CORRIDOR CITIES 1937 PASSENGER TRAIN SERVICE
Chicago-St. Louis Corridor - 1937

- 3 main routes
- 4h55m senvice operated on 2

| Historical Route (1937) | Miles | Through trips | Fastest Time |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chicago \& Alton | 283.9 | 6 | $4: 55$ |
| Wabash | 285.7 | 3 | $5: 15$ |
| Illinois Central | 294.2 | 3 | $4: 55$ |
| Comparison | 283.9 | Miles | Through trips |
| Amtrak in 2009 | 283.9 | 3 | $5: 20$ |
| Final EIS Chicago-St. Louis HSR Project (Jan. <br> 2003) | 306.9 | Hourly | $1: 52$ |
| Current Study, 220 mph Express Service via <br> Champaign/Decatur/Springfield |  |  |  |
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## Chicago - St. Louis Routing via Champaign

- Champaign and the Research Activities at UIUC Have Increased Prominence and Need for Connectivity to Business Centers
- At 220 mph the Extra Distance to Serve Champaign Takes and Additional 6 minutes
- Use of the Former Illinois

Central Railroad Right-of-Way Allows for Very Fast
Operations
CHICAGO - ST. LOUIS INTERMEDIATE
CITIES IN CORRIDORS REVIEW
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Tran Systems

## The Alignment

- Urban Segments
- Rural Segments


## O'Hare Western Terminal

- Significant Ridership Base
- NWSuburbs Have 2.5M Population, Same as St. Louis
- Allows Full Air-Rail Integration
- Space Available for Adding Tracks Next to Metra
- 3 Key Ryovers Required
- Enables Lower Cost Site for Fleet Storage \& Maintenance
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## Urban Segments - Chicago

## Serves

- Union Station
- Amtrak, Metra, CTA, Loop
- McCormick Place
- Largest Convention Center in US
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## Urban Segments - Champaign

- UIUC Access
- Serves New"Illinois Terminal" Station
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## Urban Segments - Decatur

- ADM Headquarters
- Proposed Routing Avoids Complexity of RR Yards East oi Downtown
- Operation via I-72 Alignment is Also an Option



## Urban Segments - Springfield

- Planned to Use $10^{\text {th }}$ Street Corridor
- Potential Interchange Station with Existing Amtrak Service
- Serves State Capital



## Urban Segments - St. Louis

- A "Greenfield" Metro East station is proposed, as well use of new Gateway station
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## Rural Segments

- Plan is based on purchase of portion of ROWfrom Railroads
- Existing railroads would generally be separated as well
- Grade separation achieved through construction of separation for about half of the crossings and closing the others; essentially same strategy as utilized in construction of Interstates
- Possible alternative would be construction of a new
 alignment which would probably have lower cost but, more complex environmental


## Garnering Stakeholder Support

- Cities Served
- Rural Communities
- Railroads
- Contractors
- Airlines
- Tourism

|  | Midwest High Speed Rail Study <br> Chicago (O'Hare) to St. Louis (Downtown) (220 mph) <br> Rail/Roadway Construction Cost Breakout |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | UNIT |  | TOTAL |  |
| ITEM DESCRIPTION |  | COST |  | COST |  |
| Railroad Construction |  |  | \$ | 7,990,769,499 | 63.4\% |
|  | Trackwork | \$1,902,185,017 |  |  |  |
|  | Electrification | \$1,265,620,140 |  |  |  |
|  | Signaling | \$ 353,750,800 |  |  |  |
|  | Bridges | \$ 690,787,900 |  |  |  |
|  | Flyovers | \$ 799,876,213 |  |  |  |
|  | Property \& ROW | \$ 305,402,040 |  |  |  |
|  | Allocated Engineering, Final Design, PM, CM (12\%) | \$ 601,466,408 |  |  |  |
|  | Allocated Contingency (35\%) | \$2,071,680,981 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roadway Construction |  |  | \$ | 4,618,009,882 | 36.6\% |
| Rural Grade Separations (Type I \& II) |  | \$ 231,046,920 |  |  |  |
|  | Urban Grade Separations (Trench \& Embankment) | \$2,641,558,485 |  |  |  |
|  | Bridge, Roadway (Includes Sub Structure) | \$ 6,888,000 |  |  |  |
|  | At-Grade Crossing Protection | \$ |  |  |  |
|  | Utilities \& Environmental | \$ 174,745,935 |  |  |  |
|  | Allocated Engineering, Final Design, PM, CM (12\%) | \$ 366,508,721 |  |  |  |
|  | Allocated Contingency (35\%) | \$1,197,261,821 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL PRELIMINARY COSTS |  | \$ | 12,609,000,000 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Travel Time / Cost / Phasing

| SEgment |  | ate seg ㅍllions) |  | APPROXIMATE CUMULATIVE COST (in Millions) | APPROXIMATE SEGMENT TRAVEL TIME (Min.) | APPROXIMATE CUMULATIVE TRAVEL FROM UNIION STATION TIME (Hrs.:Min.) IME (Hrs.:Min.) | EXPRESS RUN APPROXIMATE EEGMENT TRAVEL TIME (Min) TRAVEL TIME (Min. | EXPRESS RUN CUMULATIVE TRAVEL FROM UNIION STATION TIME (Hrs :Win) TIME (Hrs.:Min.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O'Hare Airport to Chicago Union Station | \$ | 1,012 | \$ | 1,012 | 22 | - | 22 |  |
| Chicago Union Station to McCormick Place | \$ | 119 | \$ | 1,131 | 3 | 0:03 | 43 | 0:43 |
| McCormick Place to Kankakee | \$ | 2,719 | \$ | 3,850 | 21 | 0:24 |  |  |
| Kankakee to Champaign | \$ | 2,818 | \$ | 6,668 | 26 | 0:50 |  |  |
| Champaign to Decatur | \$ | 1,741 | \$ | 8,409 | 15 | 1:05 | 32 | 1:15 |
| pecatur to Springfield | \$ | 1,358 | \$ | 9,767 | 18 | 1:23 |  |  |
| Springfield to Metro East | \$ | 1,861 | \$ | 11,629 | 27 | 1:50 | 37 | 1:52 |
| Metro East to <br> Downtown St. Louis | \$ | 904 | \$ | 12,533 | 14 | 2:04 |  |  |

Costs include ROW acquisition, but not trains, stations, maintenance facilities
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## Ridership Estimates

- Fare Systems Studied - \$46 Standard Class Chicago - St. Louis
- Estimated Passenger Miles per Year - 581,578,000
- Estimated Passenger Trips per Year - 3,000,000
- PNTM - 399, Train Capacity 500, 80\% Load Factor
- Revenue - $\$ 125$ million per Year


## Benefits Estimates

- Construction Jobs over 7 years - 26,224
- O\&M Permanent Jobs - 904
- New Job Creation - 16,390
- Value of Time Savings - \$35.6 million per year
- Vehicle Accident Reduction - \$56.3 million per year
- Consumer Travel Savings - \$42.8 million per year
- Carbon Emissions Net Savings - 187 million Ibs.


## Riding 220 mph Trains
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